

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | D. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/762,593 | 0 | 5/04/2001 | Xiong-Wu Wu | PM0277152 | 6684 |
| 909 | 7590 | 09/09/2003 | | | |
| | | HROP, LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN, | | | | MARSCHEL, ARDIN H | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1631 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/762,593 | WU ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Ardin Marschel | 1631 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the providing of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTER, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T | his action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | • | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio | n | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | • | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | ne drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ di | sapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | eply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | · | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received in Ap | plication No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prical application from the International But a See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | • • | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ,y ss. 33 3.3.6. | JO = | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of In | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/762,593

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie A: methods wherein only a conformable system itself is formed as a generated conformation without the following species of added docking etc.

Specie B: methods wherein docking of a guest molecule into a host molecule is performed (e.g., claim 13)

Specie C: methods wherein a phase transition between physical states is performed (e.g. claim 14)

Specie D: methods wherein a molecular dynamics trajectory is generated with snapshots (e.g., claim 16)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-12 are generic to the above species. The above species are distinct in that, as summarized above they each are directed to

Application/Control Number: 09/762,593

Art Unit: 1631

generation of a conformation with specific and distinct practices which commonly are separately analyzed and published thus documenting the undue search burden if they are searched together. For example, the basic single molecular system of Specie A is generally a very different consideration for docking of molecules as in Specie B due to binding specificity and affinity being significant issues in Specie B but not in Specie A methods.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

PARSARY EXISTRER

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 5, 2003